

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Manstonairport@pins.gsi.gov.uk](mailto:Manstonairport@pins.gsi.gov.uk)  
**Date:** 26 June 2019 17:49:03

---

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Dear Sir/Madam,

Just what is going on?! We are told to reduce pollution and get our carbon footprint to neutral, reduce the number of flights for work and holidays, and go electric for transport.

How can you justify the reopening of Manston which will increase pollution both chemical and noise and increase the illness and death rate of people under the flight path and the surrounding areas. The government supports the fight for climate control and it is obvious that the added pollution of a cargo hub at Manston will act totally against it. Some had the opinion that houses on the airport site would increase pollution because of the cars. NOT applicable if we go electric or hydrogen and anyway would be no way near the enormous damage done by aircraft both day and night

The council threw out the original request to reopen Manston. Several airlines have failed to make a success of the airport. The CPO is totally out of order and should be refused on the grounds of pollution, increase of death rates and illness, killing the regeneration of Ramsgate as a holiday resort and total disregard of the population trying to concentrate at work and at school. Night flights will definitely reduce sleep with added stress levels with the loss of cognitive ability and increase of alzheimers dementia, hypertension and coronary heart disease.

To say Manston has been here for several years is a nonsense as there have been people living here for hundreds of years and our house is 500 yrs old as proof of population way before the airport was ever dreamed of!! A recent vote of people in Ramsgate proved that the overall population is AGAINST the reopening of the airport.

It has been reported that there is compensation for some living under the flight path. What a joke! They therefore admit that aircraft have a problem. The area is very limited and the noise levels very inconsiderate. The money is supposed to insulate the houses but we do live with the need to open windows and enjoy the gardens. ALL of us need air to live which is NOT polluted.

Please read this letter carefully and will the powers that be make a sensible decision for now and future generations. One should not consider a financial venture against the welfare of healthy children.

I await your careful considered response especially as Ramsgate is just beginning to get on its feet again without the airport.

Yours faithfully,  
Dr J. Gledhill

---

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.  
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>

---